

Climate change impact assessment

The purpose of this assessment is to help us understand the likely impacts of our decisions on the environment of North Yorkshire and on our aspiration to achieve net carbon neutrality by 2030, or as close to that date as possible. The intention is to mitigate negative effects and identify projects which will have positive effects.

This document should be completed in consultation with the supporting guidance. The final document will be published as part of the decision making process and should be written in Plain English.

If you have any additional queries which are not covered by the guidance please email climatechange@northyorks.gov.uk

Version 2: amended 11 August 2021

Please note: You may not need to undertake this assessment if your proposal will be subject to any of the following:

Planning Permission

Environmental Impact Assessment

Strategic Environmental Assessment

However, you will still need to summarise your findings in the summary section of the form below.

Please contact <u>climatechange@northyorks.gov.uk</u> for advice.

Title of proposal	School Organisation
Brief description of proposal	Proposal to Amalgamate Caedmon College Whitby and Eskdale School resulting in the technical closure of Eskdale School and the Eskdale site.
Directorate	Children and Young Peoples' Service
Service area	Strategic Planning
Lead officer	Andrew Dixon, Strategic Planning Manager
Names and roles of other people involved in	Matt George, Strategic Planning Officer and John Lee, Strategic Planning
carrying out the impact assessment	Officer
Date impact assessment started	24/01/2022

Options appraisal

Were any other options considered in trying to achieve the aim of this project? If so, please give brief details and explain why alternative options were not progressed.

In December 2022, the Governing Board of the Whitby Secondary Partnership resolved to ask the Council to commence a consultation process on their proposal to amalgamate the two schools resulting in the closure of Eskdale School. On 7 February 2023, the Executive Member for Education, Learning and Skills gave approval for consultation on the proposal.

A variety of alternative options to the proposal which has been consulted upon have been considered. The table in 4.1 of the Executive report to which this document is appended, sets out what these options were. Alone, Options B to D in the table would not address the projected budget deficits across both schools, indeed many of them would have no impact on the budget at Eskdale School. School governors believe that any strategy to address the low pupil numbers and financial challenges without moving to become one school across two sites would hamper educational and curriculum improvement. Continuing to spend disproportionate amounts of their budget on premises costs across three sites would divert valuable budget away from the delivery of high-quality education.

What impact will this proposal have on council budgets? Will it be cost neutral, have increased cost or reduce costs?

School revenue funding

Any annual savings to the Dedicated Schools Grant arising from the closure, if approved, would remain within the ring-fenced Dedicated Schools Grant as part of the funding for all schools. Any surplus revenue or capital balances in the event of a school closure would be allocated in line with the Closing School Accounting Policy.

Where in the funding period, a school has been established or is subject to a prescribed alteration as a result of the closure of a school, a local authority may add an amount to the budget share of the new or enlarged school to reflect all or part of the unspent budget share, including any surplus carried over from previous funding periods, of the closing school for the funding period in which it closes.

Transport costs

Due to the proximity of the two schools, there are unlikely to be significant variance in the transport costs compared to current expenditure. Eligibility for Home to School Transport would be assessed against the current legislation.

Use of budget on school improvement

Governors have stated that any strategy to address the low pupil numbers and financial challenges without moving to become one school across two sites would hamper educational and curriculum improvement. Continuing to spend disproportionate amounts of their budget on premises costs across three sites would divert valuable budget away from the delivery of high-quality education.

Furthermore, governors are concerned that delaying making this proposal would make it necessary to take on other measures to meet financial challenges and Governors could not justify short term cost saving measures which they believe would impact high quality education when they believe that there was a long-term sustainable model available.

						<u>Appendix E</u>
How will this proposal in the environment?	mpact on	unt)	nut)	Explain why will it have this effect and over what timescale?	Explain how you plan to mitigate any negative	Explain how you plan to improve any positive
uno onvinorimoni.		leva	leva	what timesoule.	impacts.	outcomes as far as
N.B. There may be short to	erm negative	9 9	<u> </u>	Where possible/relevant please include:	puoto.	possible.
impact and longer term po impact. Please include all impacts over the lifetime o and provide an explanation	potential of a project	Positive impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant) No impact	Negative impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	 Changes over and above business as usual Evidence or measurement of effect Figures for CO₂e Links to relevant documents 		
Minimise greenhouse	Emissions		Х	The issue of school transport is difficult to	Where possible	Schools will continue to
gas emissions e.g.	from travel			analyse as parental preference and parental	Integrated Passenger	encourage sustainable
reducing emissions from				behaviour plays a large role in the level of	transport will choose	methods of transport
travel, increasing energy				emissions from travel. For example, even	vehicles and routes to	where they are safe and
efficiencies etc.				schools with a very small catchment area would	minimise traffic. The	possible. For example, by
				have a high carbon footprint if the majority of	amalgamated school will	encouraging lift sharing,
				parents chose to drive their children to school	be encouraged and	walking to school as part
				either at their catchment school or by preference	supported to develop a	of developing their travel
				to another school further afield. Many schools operate travel plans which encourage low	sustainable travel plan.	plans.
				carbon transport options such as walking and		
				cycling.		
				-,g.		
				All pupils living within Whitby Town would be		
				within the statutory 3 mile walking distance and		
				for the newly amalgamated secondary school on		
				the Scoresby and Normanby sites. This is the		
				same as the status quo where pupils living		
				within Whitby Town would all be within the		

How will this proposal impact on the environment? N.B. There may be short term negative impact and longer term positive impact. Please include all potential impacts over the lifetime of a project and provide an explanation.	npact the box below when	(Place a X in the box below where relevant) Negative impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mitigate any negative impacts.	Explain how you plan to improve any positive outcomes as far as possible.
			statutory walking distance for either Eskdale School or Caedmon College Whitby. However, it is acknowledged that some families from the area close to Eskdale School may use vehicles to travel to school if the schools are amalgamated onto the Normanby and Scoresby sites. For pupils living beyond 3 miles across the rest of the catchment area home to school transport would be provided where appropriate in line with legislation. In practice there will be a small number of pupils living to the North and West of Whitby but currently attending Eskdale who are currently eligible for 11-16 home to school transport but would not be if they live within 3 miles of the Normanby site.		

How will this proposal in the environment? N.B. There may be short to impact and longer term po impact. Please include all impacts over the lifetime of and provide an explanation	erm negative sitive potential f a project	Positive impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	No impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	Negative impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	Explain why will it have this effect and over what timescale? Where possible/relevant please include: • Changes over and above business as usual • Evidence or measurement of effect • Figures for CO ₂ e • Links to relevant documents		Explain how you plan to improve any positive outcomes as far as possible.
	Emissions from constructio n		Х		N/A	N/A	N/A
	Emissions from running of buildings	X			If the proposals are implemented the Council would cease to maintain the Eskdale School and the pupils would be accommodated at the existing Caedmon College Whitby sites. This would lead to a small increase in energy usage at the Caedmon sites but a net decrease in energy uses from the status quo.		
	Emissions from data storage Other	X			The data storage for Eskdale School as a separate institution would not be required going forward.		
Minimise waste: Reduce, recycle and compost e.g. use of single use plastic	reuse,	X			There will be a general reduction in the use of resources of all kinds due to the current Eskdale School pupils being accommodated in the		

How will this proposal impact on the environment? N.B. There may be short term negative impact and longer term positive impact. Please include all potential impacts over the lifetime of a project and provide an explanation.	Positive impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	No impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	Negative impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	Explain why will it have this effect and over what timescale? Where possible/relevant please include: • Changes over and above business as usual • Evidence or measurement of effect • Figures for CO ₂ e • Links to relevant documents	Explain how you plan to mitigate any negative impacts.	Explain how you plan to improve any positive outcomes as far as possible.
				amalgamated school and Eskdale School's consumption of resources ceasing.		
Reduce water consumption	X			The water consumption required to operate Eskdale School as an establishment would no longer be required and is therefore removed from the NYC carbon footprint.		
Minimise pollution (including air, land, water, light and noise)	Х			The pollution created by the Eskdale School heating system would be removed from the NYC carbon footprint.		
Ensure resilience to the effects of climate change e.g. reducing flood risk, mitigating effects of drier, hotter summers		Х		N/A		
Enhance conservation and wildlife		X		N/A		

						Appendix L
How will this proposal impact on the environment? N.B. There may be short term negative impact and longer term positive impact. Please include all potential impacts over the lifetime of a project and provide an explanation.	sitive impact ace a X in the box below where relevant)	No impact (Place a X in the box below where relevant)	gative impact	Explain why will it have this effect and over what timescale? Where possible/relevant please include: Changes over and above business as usual Evidence or measurement of effect Figures for CO ₂ e Links to relevant documents	Explain how you plan to mitigate any negative impacts.	Explain how you plan to improve any positive outcomes as far as possible.
Safeguard the distinctive characteristics, features and special qualities of North Yorkshire's landscape Other (please state below)	<u>a</u> <u>a</u>	X	Ne (Pla	N/A		

Are there any recognised good practice environmental standards in relation to this proposal? If so, please detail how this proposal meets those	
standards.	
I/A	

Summary Summarise the findings of your impact assessment, including impacts, the recommendation in relation to addressing impacts, including any legal advice, and next steps. This summary should be used as part of the report to the decision maker:

This proposal has positive and negative environmental impacts. The positive impacts will be a reduction in energy usage associated with a whole establishment and site ceasing to be used as the school. This would include reductions in heating, lighting and water usage. However, there is likely to be a negative impact associated with some increased use of vehicles to transport the pupils to and from school. Ultimately there is an overriding consideration in this proposal to provide the best outcome for the education of children in the local area and no other educationally sustainable option has been identified.

The school site itself is owned by North Yorkshire Council and any decision about future use of the site would need to take regard of the NYC Climate Change policy.

Sign off section

This climate change impact assessment was completed by: Matt George

Name	Matt George
Job title	Strategic Planning Officer
Service area	Strategic Planning
Directorate	CYPS
Signature	Matt George
Completion date	1/3/2023

Authorised by relevant Assistant Director (signature): Amanda Newbold

Date: 1/03/2023